Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/688	,020	CARUSO ET AL.	
	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
	Anatol	y Vortman	2835	
All Participants:	Statu	Status of Application:		
(1) Examiner Anatoly Vortman / AU 2835.	(3)	(3)		
(2) Thomas J. Brindisi, Reg. No. 40,348.	(4)	(4)		
Date of Interview: see below	Time	»:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 20,21, 25-29				
Prior art documents discussed: DE/20315849				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
1 1 5				
# W				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applic	ant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

During telephone conversations on August 1 and 2, 2006, Examiner has suggested canceling claims 20 and 25-27 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. Further, claims 28 and 29 have been cancelled as drawn to a non-elected invention. Also, Examiner has indicated that "means-plus-function" recitation of claim 21 readable on specie "without projectile" would be anticipated by DE/20315849. For details see Examiner's Amendment attached hereto.